EXHIBIT 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

MICROSOFT CORPORATION,)
	Plaintiff,) CASE NO. C10-1823JLR
v .) SEATTLE, WASHINGTON) July 30, 2013
MOTOROLA, INC.,	et al.,)) Daubert hearing
	Defendant.))

VERBATIM REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS
BEFORE THE HONORABLE JAMES L. ROBART
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiff: ARTHUR HARRIGAN

RICHARD CEDEROTH ANDREW CULBERT DAVID PRITIKIN CHRISTOPHER WION

For the Defendant: KATHLEEN SULLIVAN

WILLIAM PRICE PHILIP McCUNE

RALPH PALUMBO (by telephone)

Reported by: NANCY L. BAUER, CCR, RPR

Federal Court Reporter

700 Stewart Street, Suite 17205

Seattle, WA 98101 (206) 370-8506

nancy_bauer@wawd.uscourts.gov

percentage allocation entries were all eliminated from the claim so that what we were left with was a set of a series of entries that we believed to be, each one, 100 percent allocatable to standard essential patent defense activity.

THE COURT: And how do we know that?

MR. HARRIGAN: So, Your Honor, here -- here is -- here is what has occurred since, because I have an update for everyone, which has been happening over the last week, and that is, there were 5,000 entries that were determined by Ms. Robbins to be reasonably -- very clearly 100 percent allocatable to the SEP defense.

However, Ms. Robbins is not going to testify.

Mr. Killough will be the witness with respect to a number of issues relating to the attorneys' fees.

So what has happened in the last week is Ms. Robbins and Mr. Killough have worked together and reduced the 5,000 entries to 3,000 entries that are either self-evident 100 percent of anyone who knows the slightest thing about this case, or that Mr. Killough has determined he can identify as being 100 percent allocatable to SEP defense.

And he testified at his deposition, which is part of our response, that he would be able to do that. And, in fact, since then, he has actually done that. And so Mr. Killough will be able to be cross-examined about any of the 3,000 entries that are currently in the claim, which is now the

Sidley claim, as a result of that is now reduced to approximately .

So with respect to Mr. Meneberg, he's also, obviously, been busy with the 3,000 entries, and he has calculated that number I just gave you in the same way that he calculated the earlier numbers, something that the jury would take days doing and probably would get the wrong answer because it's very complicated.

And so we believe that Mr. Meneberg's qualifications to do complicated arithmetic are well established, and that under 1006, that's something that is appropriate to be done to help the jury. And he is, you know, a highly qualified expert. He's got a staff, he's got computers, and he checked everything, and we believe he's gotten the right answer.

So I don't think there's -- I don't think it would be fair to Mr. Meneberg to have on his record that he was excluded as an expert under a *Daubert* motion, when we just asked him to please perform some complicated calculations carefully and provide the answer to the jury. So that's basically where we are, and we believe, as the court said, that, you know, the proof will be in the pudding at trial, and if Mr. Killough can't support the foundation for those 3,000 entries, that will be a problem.

THE COURT: When are the 3,000 entries going to be provided to Microsoft -- or Motorola?

```
MR. HARRIGAN: We already have them. In other words,
 1
 2
    we haven't changed the target. We've shrunk the target.
 3
    Those 3,000 -- and we -- and I believe we can do that
    virtually instantly, correct, Ellen?
 4
 5
             MS. ROBBINS: Yes.
 6
             MR. HARRIGAN: And so they're already identified.
 7
    They can be provided. And Mr. Killough has already testified
    at his deposition that he is capable of identifying such 100
 8
 9
    percent entries.
             THE COURT: Well, here's what I want to know: You
10
    had 5,000. You've given that to Motorola?
11
             MR. HARRIGAN:
                            Correct.
12
             THE COURT: Now you say you've got 3,000. Simply
13
    saying 3,000 of the 5,000 of the ones we're talking about
14
15
    doesn't help them. Do they have 3,000 identified entries?
             MR. HARRIGAN: I -- I believe we didn't have them
16
    until a day or two ago, and they will have them forthwith.
17
             THE COURT: I guess that order about close of
18
    discovery wasn't of concern to anyone?
19
             MR. HARRIGAN: Well, Your Honor, I -- this is -- this
20
21
    is actually not discovery. We're shrinking our claim from
    5,000 entries to 3,000 entries. The same 3,000 entries were
22
    in the original. It just gives Motorola fewer entries to
23
    deal with.
24
25
             THE COURT: All right. Continue.
```

```
THE COURT: You know, when your expert changes his
 1
 2
    opinion because you're now advising him, I don't think that's
 3
    the other side's fault.
             MR. PRICE: Well, we're just dropping things, Your
 4
 5
    Honor.
 6
             THE COURT: All right. Let's deal with Mr. Killough.
 7
        Mr. Harrigan, I heard you graciously say that you would
    have this report to them by noon tomorrow. Is that accurate?
 8
             MR. HARRIGAN:
 9
                            Yes.
10
             THE COURT: All right. And in what form will it
    take?
11
             MR. HARRIGAN: Ms. Robbins, why don't you tell us
12
    about this. There's no point in me talking about things I
13
    don't know the details about.
14
             MS. ROBBINS: Good afternoon. Your Honor. Ellen
15
    Robbins on behalf of Microsoft.
16
17
        We have the invoices, the same invoices that we've
    previously produced, although there are far more redactions.
18
    All that will be left on the new set of invoices are the
19
    3,000 entries that we are now seeking as attorneys' fees.
20
21
    They also have indication on whether the time entry relates
22
    to the H.264 patent, the 802.11 patent, or both.
             THE COURT: In what format is that in?
23
24
             MS. ROBBINS: Invoices. They're the actual invoices.
25
             THE COURT: And this is only Sidley's fees?
```

MS. ROBBINS: Correct. There have not been adjustments to the other ones.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

MR. HARRIGAN: Your Honor, just one point here. Our goal here is to try to get this down to something that nobody can really argue about. I know that may be an impossible task, but we would be more than happy to go through the 3,000 and see if -- maybe there are a hundred of them that Motorola disagrees with, and we might just take a bow. I mean, the idea is to get to a point where it's obvious that these are about defending standard essential patents, and nobody needs to dispute it. So that's the goal. And maybe, you know, if we winnow out a few more, we can get to the point where at least they're not admitting anything other than, yes, this was about defending SEP patents. So that's where we're trying to get to.

MR. PRICE: Your Honor, that's a pretty big burden to put on us right before trial. But Ms. Robbins, it would be nice if she'd testify, we might be able to ask her questions. But Mr. Killough, we don't need just invoices, 3,000 invoices. We'd need to know methodology. We'd need to know how they came up with the conclusion that these 3,000 entries relate to this case and our damages. And when are we going to find that out?

I mean, never before, to our knowledge, have they

```
differentiated between 26482.11 [sic] entries, for example.
 1
 2
    So, you know --
 3
             THE COURT: Sorry?
             MR. PRICE: 802.11. I'm sorry.
 4
        I mean, just giving us invoices isn't going to help us
 5
    prepare for trial and to respond to their damages claims.
 6
 7
             THE COURT: I'm going to order that the invoices be
    provided by close of business tomorrow. I'm going to give
 8
    Motorola sufficient time to review them, which will take you
 9
10
    through, probably, Wednesday of next week. And Mr. Killough
    needs to be available for a four-hour deposition, either late
11
    next week or early the following week, at Motorola's choice.
12
        Following that, if Mr. Keller needs to revise his report,
13
    he needs to revise his report, and Microsoft will have the
14
15
    opportunity to re-depose him for two hours.
        I can't begin to express my dismay that we're on-the-fly
16
    doing this stuff. You both have unlimited resources, and you
17
    fully utilize them, and you've known the trial date was
18
    coming for a long time, and to be in this situation just
19
    seems to me to be creating a difficult situation for you and
20
21
    a difficult situation for the court.
        Mr. Price, you look like you were going to leap out of
22
23
    your seat.
24
             MR. PRICE: Just to ask a question.
25
        Mr. Keller would only be responding to an expert report by
```